

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,255   | 11/20/2003      | Roberto Capodieci    | CRI-101             | 8148             |
| 42419  | 7590 09/08/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON<br>2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD<br>SUITE 365<br>HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195 |                 |                      | GOODMAN, CHARLES    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 3724                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | $\epsilon$   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10/718,255   | CAPODIECI, ROBERTO   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Charles Goodman  | 3724   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>the, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa   | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or  | awn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  | er.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  | cepted or b) objected to by the  | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.                                     | tion No<br>red in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) ☐ Interview Summar  | v (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail [   | Date   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | 5) Solution of Informal 6) Other:  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/718,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-21, drawn to an apparatus for cutting food products, classified in class 83, subclass 620.
  - II. Claims 22-27, drawn to a method for cutting food products, classified in class 83, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process that does not require formation of food product nor the conveyance thereof.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,255

Art Unit: 3724

- 5. Upon election of one of the Groups above, the following Species restriction applies.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - Figs. 1A-3,

Species II - Figs. 7A-B,

Species III-XX - Figs. 7D-8H respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, that claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,255

Art Unit: 3724

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 4

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM

to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is

encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

**AU 3724** 

September 6, 2005

HARLES GOOD